

## RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

# Fitch Affirms Adani Ports at 'BBB-'; Outlook Stable

Tue 16 Jun, 2020 - 11:20 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Hong Kong - 16 Jun 2020: Fitch Ratings has affirmed India-based port operator Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Limited's (APSEZ) Long-Term Foreign-Currency Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-'. The Outlook is Stable.

## RATING RATIONALE

The coronavirus pandemic and government containment measures worldwide have created an uncertain global environment for the seaport sector. APSEZ's most recently available issuer data may not have indicated an impairment in performance, but material changes in revenue and cost profile are occurring across the seaport sector and will continue to evolve as economic activity and government restrictions respond to the ongoing situation. Fitch's ratings are forward-looking in nature, and we will monitor developments in the sector as a result of the pandemic's severity and duration, and incorporate revised base- and rating-case qualitative and quantitative inputs based on our expectations for future performance and assessment of key risks.

The Indian government imposed a nationwide lockdown on 25 March 2020 and is now opening up different states in phases. A prolonged pandemic and further deterioration in the economy will hurt domestic consumption and disrupt the supply chain. However, while the lockdown restricts people's mobility, it does not apply to cargo to ensure a steady supply of food and medical supplies.

APSEZ confirms that its seaside port operation has been largely uninterrupted; dock workers reside at and continue to work at the ports as they are declared essential services by the government. However, a lack of truck drivers has disrupted cargo transportation by road. This has resulted in the increasing use of railways to move cargo, which is more efficient as passenger rail service has stopped.

APSEZ's throughput dropped about 30% yoy in April 2020 mainly due to disrupted cargo movement and lower export and import throughput due to factory shutdowns. Management expects throughput in the financial year ending March 2021 (FY21) to be flat or marginally lower yoy although the full impact of the coronavirus remains to be seen. Cargo and geographical diversification would help limit the throughput decline. Management expects all types of cargo to rebound once economic activity restarts in India. About 60% of APSEZ's cargo is sticky, which includes contractual take-or-pay cargo, cargo that is unlikely to be diverted to other ports due to infrastructure restrictions such as crude oil and cargo from joint-venture (JV) partners.

APSEZ has timing flexibility in its expansion projects. Management had previously budgeted about INR40 billion for capex in FY21 and has reduced it to INR23 billion. In the worst-case scenario, management could cut capex to only maintenance, which is about INR8 billion.

APSEZ announced its acquisition of a 75% stake in Krishnapatnam Port Company Limited (KPCL) in January 2020, which will be fully funded through internal accruals and existing cash balances. APSEZ will consolidate KPCL's debt of INR62 billion into its balance sheet and incur INR55 billion in cash outflow to fund the acquisition, which will not affect APSEZ's rating, in our view. However, the acquisition is still pending regulatory approvals. Management expects the acquisition to be completed only in 3QFY21. We have not included the acquisition or EBITDA contribution from KPCL in our forecast due to the pending regulatory approvals.

We believe APSEZ has adequate liquidity to weather the near-term challenges. The company had a cash and bank balance of about INR73 billion as of March 2020 and committed undrawn banking facilities of INR16 billion. This compares with its operating expense (excluding depreciation and amortisation) of INR43 billion and interest cost of about INR20 billion in FY20. APSEZ has INR33 billion due in FY21 to be repaid or refinanced. The company, as a member of one of the largest conglomerates in India spanning different sectors, has strong banking relationships and established access to the capital market.

Fitch's rating case projects adjusted net debt/EBITDAR will average 3.1x in FY21-FY25. APSEZ's underlying rating of 'bbb' is capped by India's Country Ceiling of 'BBB-'.

## KEY RATING DRIVERS

### Best-in-Class Port Operator - Revenue Risk (Volume): Stronger

APSEZ, as the largest commercial port operator in India, accounted for approximately 17% of the country's seaborne cargo in FY20 through the 10 ports it operates along India's coastline. Most of them are primary ports of call in their regions. Its flagship Mundra Port is the gateway to north-western India. APSEZ's traffic is mainly origin and destination, with limited transshipment cargo. Its state-of-the-art infrastructure, operational efficiency and integrated logistics solutions have resulted in market share gains and faster organic throughput growth than its peers and India's economic growth. Its diversified cargo mix helped its throughput to continue expanding despite the global financial crisis and the government's attempt to reduce India's coal imports.

Long-term cargo, such as cargo from take-or-pay contracts or JV partners, contributed about 60% of its total throughput. Its well-developed transport infrastructure ensures smooth cargo evacuation and enables end-to-end logistic services by transporting cargo from the ports to its inland depots via railways. Mundra Port has a deep draft to handle container ships of up to 18,000 TEU (twenty-foot equivalent unit). APSEZ seeks to expand its container terminal network in south-east Asia. The company signed a 50-year contract in May 2019 to develop and operate an international container terminal at Yangon Port, Myanmar.

### Flexibility in Modifying Tariffs - Revenue Risk (Price): Midrange

APSEZ's portfolio is mainly private ports, which have the freedom to fix their own tariffs. The company has entered into take-or-pay contracts, which accounted for about 10% of its port revenue in FY20 with a revenue-weighted remaining contract term of 10 years. Take-or-pay contracts could insulate its revenue from throughput volatility.

### Internally Funded Capex - Infrastructure Development and Renewal: Stronger

APSEZ's current capacity is sufficient to support medium-term throughput growth. However, APSEZ has a capex plan to further expand its capacity and diversify its cargo mix. The plan includes the construction of the Vizhinjam transshipment port, development of a container terminal in Yangon Port, and the expansion of the logistics business. The company is also in the process of acquiring KPCL in Andhra Pradesh and Dighi Port in Maharashtra. We believe the company has sufficient experience in executing its development plans based on its past success in turning around the Dhamra and Kattupalli ports.

### Predominant Use of Fixed-Rate Bullet Bond - Debt Structure: Midrange

APSEZ's consolidated debt comprises mainly US dollar and Indian rupee bullet bonds. We expect its established access to capital markets and relationships with banks to mitigate refinancing risk. Its average debt maturity has been extended from four years to more than five years after the issuance of two US dollar bonds in 2019. APSEZ has limited exposure to floating interest rates due to its use of fixed-rate bonds and bank loans. The bonds do not benefit from restrictive financial covenants and reserve accounts. The company relies on natural hedging to manage its foreign-exchange risk. A quarter of its revenue is in US dollars, which should be sufficient to cover its US dollar debt servicing.

## PEER GROUP

PT Pelabuhan Indonesia II (Persero) (Pelindo II, BBB/Stable; underlying credit rating: bbb) is the largest container port operator in Indonesia. APSEZ benefits from cargo under long-term contracts, which accounts for about 60% of total traffic. On the other hand, Pelindo II benefits from stable rental income from JVs, which accounts for about 60% of its EBITDA. We forecast average leverage of 3.1x during the forecast period for APSEZ under our rating case, a level similar to that of Pelindo II.

ABP Finance Plc (A-/Stable) is the financing vehicle of Associated British Ports (ABP), which operates 21 ports in the UK. ABP benefits from its perpetual ownership of port assets and its landlord-tenant business model, with long-term take-or-pay contracts representing around 45% of revenue in 2018. APSEZ benefits from long-term cargo but does not own on freehold its ports like ABP. ABP's leverage is higher than that of APSEZ, although ABP's debt includes extensive financial covenants, securities and other creditor-protective features.

## RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Prolonged deterioration of Fitch's rating case adjusted net debt/EBITDAR to above 5.0x due to underperformance or a material reduction of average concession life
- A downgrade of India's Country Ceiling from 'BBB-'

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- An upgrade of India's Country Ceiling above 'BBB-'

## BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Public Finance issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

## TRANSACTION SUMMARY

APSEZ accounted for approximately 17% of India's seaborne cargo in FY20. It operates a string of 10 ports across India. Its most important port, Mundra Port, contributed 62% of the group's throughput and serves as the gateway to landlocked north-western India.

## CREDIT UPDATE

APSEZ's throughput growth normalised in FY20 after a sharp rebound of 15% in FY19. Throughput rose by 7% yoy in FY20, faster than the 4% for all Indian ports. The above-industry average growth reflects APSEZ's expertise in turning around troubled assets and its operational efficiency. Throughput growth was mainly driven by Kattupali and new liquefied natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas terminals at Dhamra and Mundra. The company also continued to diversify its throughput from the west coast, with the east coast terminals of Dhamra, Kattupali and Ennore now accounting for 20% of total throughput, up from 15% a year earlier.

APSEZ's revenue rebounded 9% yoy in FY20, driven by higher port revenue and a recovery in logistics revenue, partially offset by lower special economic zone revenue, which was due to timing differences in executing contracts.

Its logistics business revenue rebounded by 65% yoy in FY20 after a 30% decline in FY19 due to unavailability of rakes. APSEZ has purchased additional container rakes and acquired Innovative B2B Logistics (B2B). This increased its total number of rakes from 20 to 60 currently. The acquisition of Adani Agri Logistics Limited also increased the logistics business revenue. APSEZ will continue to increase the geographical coverage of its warehouses and construct grain silos, which will provide an end-to-end solution to its cargo customers.

Total EBITDA increased by 7% in FY20 while the EBITDA margin continued to narrow due to increasing revenue contribution from the logistics segment, which has a lower EBITDA margin.

Outstanding debt increased as the company issued two US dollar bonds in FY20 with total proceeds of USD1.4 billion, part of which was used to repay a USD650 million bond due 2020.

APSEZ declared and paid an interim dividend of INR3.2/share in FY20, representing around 20% of the full-year profit after tax (PAT). The company does not expect any final dividend for FY20. Management intends to stick with its policy of distributing 20%-25% of PAT in FY21, depending on its financial performance.

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

The Fitch base case assumes a 6% throughput decline in FY21 and an 11% rebound in FY22, in line with management's forecast. We assume throughput will rise by 6.7% per annum thereafter, in line with the CAGR of Indian GDP in the past five years. It implies a throughput CAGR of about 5% between FY20 and FY25. We have incorporated management's expectation of a delay in some capex in FY21. Our base case assumes INR123 billion in capex in FY21-FY25, of which INR23 billion will be spent in FY21. We also assume dividend payouts will be 20% of PAT in FY21 and 25% thereafter. Our base case generates an average adjusted net debt/EBITDAR of 2.4x with a maximum of 3.2x.

Fitch's rating case assumes a 10% throughput decline in FY21, followed by a 10% recovery in FY22. We have applied a 10% haircut to the base case's throughput growth assumption thereafter. It implies a throughput CAGR of about 3% between FY20 and FY25. We also applied 10% stress to our base-case capex assumption. We assume dividend payouts will be 20% of PAT in FY21 and 25% afterwards. Our rating case generates an average adjusted net debt/EBITDAR of 3.1x with a maximum of 3.6x.

## REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

## PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

APSEZ's underlying rating of 'bbb' is capped by India's Country Ceiling of 'BBB-'.

## RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			PRIOR
Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Limited	LT IDR	BBB- Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	BBB- Rating Outlook Stable
● Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Limited/Debt/1 LT	LT	BBB- Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	BBB- Rating Outlook Stable

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

## FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

### Louis Pang, FRM

Associate Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+852 2263 9992

Fitch (Hong Kong) Limited

19/F Man Yee Building 60-68 Des Voeux Road Central Hong Kong

### Sunny Huang, CPA

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+852 2263 9979

### Sajal Kishore

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+65 6796 7095

## MEDIA CONTACTS

Alanis Ko

Hong Kong

+852 2263 9953

alanis.ko@thefitchgroup.com

**Wai Lun Wan**

Hong Kong

+852 2263 9935

wailun.wan@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)**APPLICABLE CRITERIA**[Infrastructure and Project Finance Rating Criteria \(pub. 24 Mar 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)[Ports Rating Criteria – Effective 3/24/20–10/15/20 \(pub. 24 Mar 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)**APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring &amp; Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v7.9.0 (1)

**ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)[Solicitation Status](#)[Endorsement Policy](#)**ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Limited

EU Endorsed

**DISCLAIMER**

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

**READ LESS****COPYRIGHT**

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and

complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

[READ LESS](#)

## SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

## ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Feedback

Infrastructure and Project Finance   Asia-Pacific   India